

(1)

CF-100

003

**IN THE COURT OF HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF
CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)**

Writ Petition No. 2956... 2004

PETITIONER

- Kumari Sunita Paruthi aged about 22 years Daughter of Satpal Paruthi and Late Smt. Sirveriya Paruthi after second marriage the mother had changed its name of Smt. Suman Sharma wife of Mr. U.K.Sharma. Resident of By Mr. U.K. Sharma, "Kamala-Hospital" near Mourya Talkies Bhilai, District Durg (C.G.)

P. R. No. 2956/04
Presented by Shri. Manoj Kumar
dated 24-8-04

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh through its secretary Medical and health Services, Mantralaya D.K.S. Bhavan Raipur (C.G.)
2. Director, Medical and health Services C.G. StateRaipur (C.G.)
3. Chief Medical and health Officer, Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
4. State of M.P. through its Secretary Health and Medical Services Mantralaya Ballabh Bhavan, Bhopal (M.P.)
5. Union of India through its secretary personnel department New Delhi.

Received
Rajkumar
25/08/04
Clerk to Shri
VINAY HART
Senior Central Government Standing Counsel
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT
BILASPUR
25-8-04
CLERK C.A. G. BHASIN

Writ petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of writ/writs in the nature of Mandamus/and/or certiorari/and/or/prohibition/and/or other suitable writ/writs Direction/Directions, order/orders.

5349/04
25/8/04

5/10/04

आदेश पत्रक

057

W.P. No. 2956/04
मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	21-12-2005 Petitioner by Shri <i>Suresh Pandey</i>, Advocate. Shri Vivek Sharma, Panel Lawyer, for the State. Heard. This case relates to compassionate appointment consequent upon the death of an employee serving in the State. Learned counsel for the petitioner categorically stated that in this case, the death of the employee has occurred on or after 1-11-1997 and not earlier. It is further submitted that in batch of cases bearing W.P. No. 1322/2002 involving the same question orders have been passed by this Court on 20/12/2005. He prays that similar orders may be passed in this case also. Learned counsel for the State does not dispute the above fact and state that the State of Chhattisgarh has taken a decision to consider the cases of dependants of those employees who died on or after 1/11/1997 strictly in accordance with the policy prevalent at that time. In view of the submissions made by learned counsel appearing for the parties, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the State of Chhattisgarh and the authorities concerned to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in accordance with the policy prevalent at the time of death of the employee concerned. If the petitioner found eligible, appointment orders will be issued as early as possible on or before 30th April, 2006. The petitioner is also directed to render due co-operation to the authorities. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law including filing of the petition before this Court. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Copy to the State counsel, who will be obliged to communicate the order to the respondents for compliance.	Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge

[पीछे देखिये]

9/1/06
29/1/06